# Transformation of Na<sub>2</sub>O–HfO<sub>2</sub>–B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> glass into a material having interconnected pores

M. A. RES, J. BEDNARIK, S. HART, H. SCHÖNBERGER National Institute for Materials Research, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Pretoria, Republic of South Africa

Substitution of SiO<sub>2</sub> in the ternary sodium borosilicate system with HfO<sub>2</sub> was found to produce glasses, which after heat treatment decomposed into immiscible microphases, one of which was water soluble. The structure of the leached material after heat treatment was either glassy (mainly in the presence of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) or crystalline. Crystalline forms found during X-ray diffraction analysis of heat treated and leached material (melted in Pt/Rh crucibles) were monoclinic HfO<sub>2</sub>. Monoclinic HfO<sub>2</sub> was also found in heat treated, leached and then fired materials melted in Pt/Rh or Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crucibles, in the latter an additional 9Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> · 2B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> phase was detected. The higher solubility of HfO<sub>2</sub> in a Na<sub>2</sub>O-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> matrix than that of ZrO<sub>2</sub> (30 wt % against 15 wt %) resulting in clear glasses is of interest. The specific surface areas of the leached materials ranged between 41.3 and 290 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, while the mean radii of interconnected pores were calculated to be 1.2 and 15.2 nm. A firing temperature between 1450 and 1500° C is estimated from void volume and bulk density measurements.

## 1. Introduction

A review of porous silica development was reported by Res et al. [1]. Sodium borosilicate glasses can be separated into two phases, only a SiO<sub>2</sub> rich and the other a  $Na_2O-B_2O_3$  phase. The latter may be leached out, leaving a porous SiO<sub>2</sub> skeleton. During forty years of development different compositional changes have been reported: Na<sub>2</sub>O was replaced by K<sub>2</sub>O, Li<sub>2</sub>O or alkaline earth oxides. The substitution of B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with  $P_2O_5$  and the total and/or partial replacement of SiO<sub>2</sub> by GeO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or AlPO<sub>4</sub> are mentioned in [1]. Oxides of cobalt, molybdenum, nickel, vanadium, tungsten and zirconium were used as minor components in the preparation of porous SiO<sub>2</sub> glass. Recent work investigated the replacement of SiO<sub>2</sub> in  $Na_2O-B_2O_3-SiO_2$  glasses by heat and/or alkali resistant oxides which are usually characterized as intermediate or modifying constituents. Combinations of two or more oxides of aluminium, cerium, hafnium, lanthanum, niobium, tantalum, thorium, titanium, yttrium and zirconium were introduced into a  $Na_2O-B_2O_3$  matrix and melted. The melts after casting were phase separated by a suitable heat treatment, leached and sintered. The resulting porous glass ceramics or glass-like materials showed a higher alkali and/or heat resistance than porous  $SiO_2$  [1–8]. Alumina in the melts was found to influence porosity and pore size. The presence of  $B_2O_3$  in the porous skeleton of some of the new materials was also established. Following on Vogel's work [9] which reported phase separation in binary  $B_2O_3$  or SiO<sub>2</sub> containing systems, a research programme has been initiated to replace  $SiO_2$  in the Na<sub>2</sub>O-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> system by single oxides. Hart et al. [10] and Clark et al. [11] prepared porous skeletons consisting of hexagonal ScBO<sub>3</sub> and  $2Al_2O_3 \cdot B_2O_3$ , respectively.

Res *et al.* [12, 13] reported on the development of a porous  $\beta$ -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and of a porous mixed structure of the aragonite type containing cubic CeO<sub>2</sub> plus cerium metaborate.

The present work investigates sodium-borate glasses containing  $HfO_2$  as a third component. After heat treatment to achieve phase separation and/or crystallization and subsequent leching a  $HfO_2$ -rich porous skeleton was expected. A glass-like porous material containing  $HfO_2$  in combination with  $CeO_2$ ,  $La_2O_3$ ,  $ThO_2$ ,  $Y_2O_3$  and  $ZrO_2$  has already been reported [7]. In order to study the influence of alumina on the resulting material some batches contained  $Al_2O_3$  purposely introduced from crucible erosion.

## 2. Experimental procedure

## 2.1. Glass preparation

The glasses were prepared for chemically pure reagents  $H_3BO_3$  and  $Na_2CO_3$  (E. Merck A.G., Darmstadt, West Germany) and HfO<sub>2</sub> (99.7%) (Koch-Light Laboratories Ltd., Colnbrooks, Berkshire, UK). Batches of 25 and 100 g were melted in Pt/Rh as well as in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crucibles in air at 1400° C for 3 to 4 h. The melts were cast in iron moulds and annealed. Phase separation was induced by heat treatment. The relevant programmes are included in Table I.

The phase separated samples were leached in boiling distilled water for 24 to 72 h depending on sample composition and crucible type. Selected leached samples were fired at 1380, 1440 or 1500° C for 30 min.

## 2.2. Characterization of glasses

Various techniques for characterization of porous glasses and glass-ceramics have been outlined in previous papers and will not be dealt with further.

The methods used in the present work for material

ΤA	BLE	ΞI	Treatment	and	characterization	of	leached	samples
----	-----	----	-----------	-----	------------------	----	---------	---------

Sample No.	Chemical composition calculated from batch			Crucible type	Heat treatment		Leaching (h)	Appearance of as leached	Void volume	BET surface area $(m^2 g^{-1})$	Mean pore radius (nm)
	$\frac{WI\%}{Na_2O} = B_2O_2$		HfO <sub>1</sub>		(° C)	(h)		samples	$(ml g^{-1})$		
1	14.93	58.71	26.36	Pt/Rh (a) (b)	600 500 550	3 3* 3	6 24	white	0.3727 0.456	49.0 147.6	15.2 6.2
2	15	60	25	Pt/Rh	475 525 550 575 600 625	2* 2 2 2 2 2 2	2	white	_	41.3	-
3	10	75	15	$Al_2O_3$	500 550 600 625	2* 2 2 2	72	white, very slightly yellowish	0.3473	249.39	2.78
4	14.93	58.71	26.36	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	600	2	72	white, very slightly yellowish	0.1768	290	1.2
5	13.11	57.23	29.66	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	600 650 700 750	2* 2 2 2	72	white, very slightly yellowish	0.163	261.4	1.3

\*Heating rate between temperature steps was 4° C min<sup>-1</sup>.

characterization include pore volume measurements [1], scanning electron microscopy [2], X-ray diffraction analysis [5], Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) nitrogen absorption and desorption [8], qualitative bulk density measurements [10] and sintering experiments [12]. A wet chemical analysis was also performed on selected samples.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Characterization of resulting materials

The starting glass compositions calculated from the batch of as quenched samples are shown in Fig. 1. The term "other formations" means opaque, slightly or fully crystallized samples.

The characterization of selected samples is given in Table I. This table includes starting compositions

calculated from batch, crucible type, heat treatment programmes, leaching times, appearance of as leached samples, their void volumes, surface areas and mean pore radii.

The compositions in Fig. 1 covered a region of 5 to 20 wt % Na<sub>2</sub>O, 45 to 85 wt %  $B_2O_3$  and 10 to 35 wt % HfO<sub>2</sub>. The general appearance of samples 1 to 3 prior to heat treatment was either colourless or with a slightly green coloration. Under visual observation of as quenched or annealed samples no cords, threads or striae were seen in the clear glasses. Samples 4 and 5 were slightly opaque. After heat treatment of these samples opaque white materials with a slightly greyish tint resulted. Samples 1 and 2 were opaque white after leaching while samples 3 to 5 took on a slightly yellow tint.



Figure 1 Starting compositions (wt %) and clear glass region of as quenched  $Na_2O-B_2O_3-HfO_2$  melts.



Figure 2 Scanning electron fractograph of clear as quenched glass 4 showing droplet-like microheterogeneities. (Magnification  $1.12 \times 10^4$ .)

#### 3.2. Scanning electron microscopy

Secondary electron micrographs of as quenched glasses 1 and 4 (Pt/Rh and  $Al_2O_3$  crucible melts, respectively) showed the presence of droplet-like microheterogeneities similar to that reported in [1, 6–10] (Fig. 2).

Scanning electron fractographs of samples 1 and 3

phase separated by heat treatment show strong crystallization in sample 1 (Fig. 3a) and phase separation only in sample 3 (Fig. 3b). Similar structural differences were reported previously [1, 2, 4, 5]. Sample 1 consists of hafnia-sodium-borate while sample 3 contains additional alumina. The role of  $Al_2O_3$ additions which decreases the linear crystallization speed in the glass can be assumed [14] for the Na<sub>2</sub>O-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-HfO<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> melt. Comparison of the scanning electron fractographs of phase separated and then leached samples 1 and 3 in Fig. 4 confirms this observation. Sample 1 (Fig. 4a) shows crystallinity while sample 3 (Fig. 4b) has a glassy structure with droplet-like microheterogeneities present. Similar structural differences were reported previously [2, 4-6]. Heat treatment for densification of leached samples was carried out for a constant time of 30 min at temperatures of 1380, 1440 or 1500° C. The influence of this on the structure of the resulting materials is demonstrated in Fig. 5.

In Fig. 5a the scanning electron fractograph of sample 1 fired at  $1440^{\circ}$  C shows well developed crystals. At 1500° C, however, the morphology of crystals changed (Fig. 5b). For comparison, the firing of an Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> containing sample 4 at 1500° C also caused marked crystallization in contrast to the heat treated and leached Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> containing samples which were glassy. The structure of fired sample 4 is shown in Fig. 5c.

#### 3.3. Wet chemical analysis

A wet chemical analysis of the leached samples 1 and 4 is compared to the original batch glass composition in Table II. The addition of  $Al_2O_3$  in the leached sample 4 originates from  $Al_2O_3$  crucible erosion. It can



Figure 3 Scanning electron fractographs of heat treated glasses: (a) crystallized and etched sample 1 (Pt/Rh crucible melt) magnification  $0.7 \times 10^3$ , (b) phase separated sample 3 (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crucible melt), with marked content of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, magnification  $1.05 \times 10^4$ .

TABLE II Chemical compositions before and after leaching in wt %

	Sample 1 calculated from batch	Sample 1 analysed after leaching 24 h	Sample 4 calculated from batch	Sample 4 analysed after leaching 24 h	
Na <sub>2</sub> O	14.93		14.93	2.76	
$B_2O_3$	58.71	12.83	58.71	14.39	
HfO <sub>2</sub>	26.36	87.68	26.36	43.66	
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	_	-	-	40.02	
	100.00	100.51	100.00	100.83	

be seen from Table II that  $Na_2O$  in sample 1 was totally leached out while the remaining amount of  $B_2O_3$  in the porous skeleton indicates too short a leaching time of 24 h which was later extended to up to 72 h. A 24 h leaching time is evidently too short for sample 4. It should be emphasized that the content of 40 wt % Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was found in leached sample 4. This content is far higher than that to be expected in the melt. During leaching an enrichment of the "skeleton forming" oxides (HfO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) occurs. (See Table II for HfO<sub>2</sub> in sample 1). An analysis of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> content in the melt was however not made.

#### 3.4. X-ray analysis

Powder X-ray diffraction studies were conducted on as-quenched, phase, separated, leached and then fired samples on a Rigaku Denki diffractometer (Rigaku Denki Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

Various glassy and crystalline structures were observed. As-quenched samples 1 to 4 showed no significant crystalline peaks, only the characteristic pattern typical of a glassy state. After heat treatment and leaching however, samples 1 and 2 showed crystallization. The crystalline phase was monoclinic  $HfO_2$ . Samples 3 and 4 containing a significant amount of  $Al_2O_3$  were glassy after heat treatment and leaching.

Strong crystallization was observed after firing. After firing at  $1500^{\circ}$  C samples 1 and 4 showed the obvious presence of monoclinic HfO<sub>2</sub>. In the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> containing sample 4 an additional crystalline phase,  $9Al_2O_3 \cdot 2B_2O_3$  [15] was detected.

## 3.5. Heat treatment for densification

Specimens from leached sample 1 were fired for 30 min at 1380, 1440 or  $1500^{\circ}$  C to study the densification behaviour. After firing the specimens were tested for remaining pore volume and after crushing to a grain size of 0.5 to 1 mm for densification within the powder granules (bulk density). The results are shown in Fig. 6.

## 4. Discussion

A series of sodium-borate hafnium oxide glasses showed phase separation, leachability and porosity similar to that achieved in the ternary sodiumborosilicate system. A higher solubility of  $HfO_2$  in the  $Na_2O-B_2O_3$  matrix has been observed than that previously reported for  $ZrO_2$  [16].

The Na<sub>2</sub>O-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-HfO<sub>2</sub> starting compositions in



Figure 4 Scanning electron fractographs of phase separated and then leached samples: (a) leached sample 1 (no  $Al_2O_3$  present) magnification 1.05 × 10<sup>3</sup>, (b) leached sample 3 (marked  $Al_2O_3$  content) magnification 5.6 × 10<sup>3</sup>.



Fig. 1 indicate a narrow glass forming region for 5 to 20 wt % Na<sub>2</sub>O content. Scanning electron microscopy studies revealed the presence of droplet-like microheterogeneities in clear glasses in which visually no cords, threads or striae were observed. In heat treated and leached samples melted in Pt/Rh crucibles crystal-line monoclinic HfO<sub>2</sub> structures were found, whereas the melts containing additional Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were glassy in



*Figure 5* Scanning electron fractographs of phase separated and/or crystallized, leached and then fired samples: (a)  $HfO_2$  rich sample 1 after firing at 1440° C for 30 min, magnification 2.1 × 10<sup>3</sup>. (b)  $HfO_2$  rich sample 1 after firing at 1500° C for 30 min, with changed crystal morphology, magnification 1.4 × 10<sup>3</sup>. (c)  $HfO_2$  rich  $Al_2O_3$  containing sample 4 after firing at 1500° C for 30 min, magnification 2.1 × 10<sup>3</sup>.

this state. After firing at  $1500^{\circ}$  C for 30 min both sets of samples showed the presence of monoclinic HfO<sub>2</sub>. An additional phase  $9Al_2O_3 \cdot 2B_2O_3$  reported by Uhlig [15] was detected for the alumina containing samples. The intended development of a HfO<sub>2</sub> rich skeleton having interconnected pores was achieved although a certain amount of  $B_2O_3$  remained unleached. As in other reported materials [12] the presence of  $Al_2O_3$ from crucible erosion induced the creation of materials with surface areas of interconnected pores far exceeding that found in HfO<sub>2</sub> skeletons containing no  $Al_2O_3$ .

Surface areas of up to  $290 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  and mean pore radii between 1.2 and 15.2 nm are comparable with other systems [1–8, 10–13]. Because of the small amount of leached and/or fired material available in this study (approximately 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup> of each sample) the results of bulk density (within the powder granules) and void volume measurements could only be evaluated qualitatively. The results show however, the trend of densification of the porous material with decreasing pore volume and increasing bulk density with increasing firing temperatures. The results in Fig. 6 indicate a better heat resistance than that reported for porous SiO<sub>2</sub> glass.



## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Mrs W. H. P. Marais as well as Messrs G. Mpepo and N. Makola for their technical assistance.

#### References

- M. A. RES, S. T. FOURIE, R. W. WHITE and J. BEDNARIK, J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 65 (1982) 184.
- M. A. RES, F. BLUM, J. BEDNARIK and R. W. WHITE, Glastechn. Berichte 55 (1982) 50.
- 3. M. A. RES, J. BEDNARIK and R. W. WHITE, S.A. J. Phys. 5 (1983) 44.
- 4. R. W. WHITE, M. A. RES and J. BEDNARIK, J. Mater. Sci. 18 (1983) 1021.
- 5. J. BEDNARIK, M. A. RES and R. W. WHITE, *Glass Technol.* 24 (1983) 122.
- R. W. WHITE, M. A. RES and J. BEDNARIK, J. Mater. Sci. 18 (1983) 3409.
- 7. M. A. RES, S. HART and R. W. WHITE, J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 66 (1983) 221.
- 8. R. W. WHITE, M. A. RES and J. BEDNARIK, J. Mater. Sci. 19 (1984) 1109.

Figure 6 (x) Pore volume and (O) bulk density after firing steps for sample 1. (Previously heat treated and leached for 24 h. The results are qualitative.)

- 9. W. VOGEL, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 25 (1977) 172.
- S. HART, M. A. RES and J. HARRIS, J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 67 (1984) C-116.
- 11. J. B. CLARK, M. A. RES and S. HART, Glastechn. Berichte 57 (1984) 269.
- 12. M. A. RES, J. BEDNARIK, J. T. FOURIE and J. L. ALBAIN, J. Amer. Ceram. Soc. 67 (1984) C-264.
- 13. M. A. RES, J. BEDNARIK and F. BLUM, J. Mater. Sci. 21 (1986) 1758.
- 14. F. KOTSMID, "Sklarske Suroviny" (Statni Nakladatelstuí Technické Literatury, Praha, 1953) Ch. B p. 116.
- R. UHLIG et al. Powder diffraction file Joint Commission for Powder Diffraction Standardization, File No. 29-9 (International Centre for Diffraction Data, Swarthmore, Pennsylvania, USA, 1982).
- 16. S. HART, M. A. RES, J. BEDNARIK and F. T. WYBENGA, S.A. J. Phys. 8 (1985) 50.

Received 26 April and accepted 10 September 1985